Present status of the DAΦNE upgrade and perspectives Catia Milardi for the DAΦNE Commissioning Team (*)

(*) David Alesini, Maria Enrica Biagini, Caterina Biscari, Roberto Boni, Manuela Boscolo, Fabio Bossi, Bruno Buonomo, Alberto Clozza, Giovanni Delle Monache, Theo Demma, Enrico Di Pasquale, Giampiero Di Pirro, Alessandro Drago, Alessandro Gallo, Andrea Ghigo, Susanna Guiducci, Carlo Ligi, Fabio Marcellini, Giovanni Mazzitelli, Catia Milardi, Fabrizio Murtas, Luigi Pellegrino, Miro Preger, Lina Quintieri, Pantaleo Raimondi, Ruggero Ricci, Ugo Rotundo, Claudio Sanelli, Mario Serio, Francesco Sgamma, Bruno Spataro, Alessandro Stecchi, Angelo Stella, Sandro Tomassini, Cristina Vaccarezza, Mikhail Zobov (INFN/LNF, Frascati (Roma)), Ivan Koop, Evgeny Levichev, Pavel Piminov, Dmitry Shatilov (BINP SB RAS, Novosibirsk), Victor Smaluk (BINP, Novosibirsk), Simona Bettoni (CERN, Geneva), Marco Schioppa (INFN Gruppo di Cosenza, Arcavacata di Rende (Cosenza)), Paolo Valente (INFN-Roma, Roma), Kazuhito Ohmi (KEK, Ibaraki), Nicolas Arnaud, Dominique Breton, Patrick Roudeau, Achille Stocchi, Alessandro Variola, Benoit Francis Viaud (LAL, Orsay), Marco Esposito (Rome University La Sapienza, Roma), Eugenio Paoloni (University of Pisa and INFN, Pisa), Paolo Branchini (Roma3, Rome)

10th International Workshop on Meson Production, Properties and Interaction KRAKÓW, POLAND 6 - 10 June 2008

Frascati INFN Laboratory



Outline

ADDE original configuration overview

New collision scheme and collider upgrade Late Piweski angle and crab-waist

New Ring Crose Region (RCF) replacing former IR2 New injection kickers New bellows

Some issues related to the DADNE commission Ring optics Bunch length and ring impedance Beam currents

Mew collision scheme test
Luminosity measurements



Back

10/0/0/04-

DA Φ NE Parameters

(original configuration)

Energy (GeV)	0.51	
Circumference, m	97.69	
RF Frequency (MHz)	368.26	
Harmonic Number	120	
Damping Time (ms)	17.8/36.0	
Bunch Length (cm)	1-3	
Emittance (mm mrad)	0.34	
Coupling %	0.2-0.3	
$\beta_{x/y}$ Function at IP (m)	1.7/0.017 (KLOE) 2.0/0.019 (FINUDA)	
Max. Tune Shifts	.0304	
Number of Bunches	100÷111	
Max.Beam Cur. I ⁻ /I ⁺ (A)	2.4/1.4 (KLOE) 1.5/1.1 (FINUDA)	





KLOE

1.5E+32 1.0E+32

5.0E+31

0.0E+0

current [mA]

2000.0 1500.0

1000.0-

500.0

0.0

10000.0

7500.0

5000.0-

2500.0

0.0

23:54

23:54

23:54

FINUDA

23.50

23.50

23:59



111 bunches, β_{y}^{*} = 1.8 cm, β_{x}^{*} = 1.5 m

106 bunches, β_0^* = 1.9 cm, β_2^* = 2.0 m



•FINUDA installation

•New KLOE IR optics & coupling correction

•New Transverse feedback installed

•WIGGLER field quality improved

•Injection kickers upgrade

Ion clearing electrodes feed-through modification
Scrapers and bellows maintenance & upgrade

•FINUDA removed & simplified IR2

KLOE installed

Wires for BBLR interaction compensation in IR1
Extensive studies about the beam-beam limit at DAΦNE

KLOE removed & simplified IR1
FINUDA installed
WIGGLER Ion Clearing Electrodes removed
WIRES for BBLR interaction compensation in IR2
3rd generation transverse and longitudinal FBK systems
New BPMs with single turn measure capability

Rationale for the Upgrade

 $L_{\text{peak}} \sim 1.6 \ 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was the maximum luminosity achievable in the original DA Φ NE configuration due to:

• $\beta_y^* \sim \sigma_z$ to avoid hourglass effect

 Long-range beam-beam interactions causing τ⁺ τ⁻ reduction limiting I⁺_{MAX} I⁻_{MAX} and consequently L_{peak} and L_∫





A new conceptual approach was necessary to reach L~10³³ Collision scheme based on **large Piwinski** angle and **Crab-Waist**

Large Piwinski angle



Crab-Waist compensation

Collision with large θ is not a new idea

Crab-Waist transformation is ! (P.Raimondi, 2006)

$$y = \frac{xy'}{2\theta}$$

Powerful Sextupoles Proper IR optics



BEAM PROFILES @IP AND NEW PARAMETERS



	DAΦNE (KLOE run)	DA ΦNE Upgrade
I _{bunch} (mA)	13	13
N _{bunch}	110	110
β _y * (cm)	1.7	0.65
β _x * (cm)	170	20
σ _y * (μ m)	7	2.6
σ _x * (μm)	700	200
σ _z (mm)	25	20
Horizontal tune shift	0.04	0.008
Vertical tune shift	0.04	0.055
θ _{cross} (mrad) (half)	12.5	25
Ф_{Ріwinski}	0.45	2.5
L (cm ⁻² s ⁻¹)	1.5x10 ³²	> 5 x10 ³²

IR1 Evolution

- splitter magnets and compensator solenoids removed
- large collision angle ~ 50 mrd
- *low-beta* section based on Permanent Magnet QUADs:

 $K_{QD} = -29.2 [T/m]$ $k_{QF} = 12.6 [T/m]$

- e⁺ e⁻ vacuum chambers separate after the Q_D
- four C type corrector dipole are used to mach the vacuum chamber in the arc

Beam Beam Long Range interaction almost cancelled

1PC -> Δ**x**_{PC}~**20** σ_x





Ring Crossing Region



No BBLR interaction contribution from RCR

Splitter magnets and compensator solenoids removed Geometrical layout symmetric to the IR1 "Half Moon" vacuum chamber: •provides full beam separation •designed to fit inside the

existing EM quadrupolescan be easily replaced by an IR



New injection KICKERS test

New stripline kicker providing better deflecting field uniformity



 \Rightarrow The new kickers are powered by **new pulsed power supply (6 ns pulse length**), and they are also compatible with the old pulsers (200 nspulse length)

\Rightarrow First test on e+ ring with fast pulsers have been successfully done.

 \Rightarrow Unfortunately we had **problems with the new fast FID pulsers** after few hours of operation. They have been now recovered and ready to be re-installed.



NEW BELLOWS



- 6 new bellows for each ring;
- Shielding based on Be-Cu Ω strips 0.2 mm thickness;
- lower impedance and improved mechanical specifications;







$DA\Phi NE$ commissioning milestones

- **Commissioning** started at the end of November
- Both *beams stored* in the first days of December
- February Crab-Waist sextupoles in operation
- February the 11th *Luminosity monitor installation*
- Beginning of March first $L \sim 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ measured
- March the 10th SIDDHARTA installation
- End of April *L_{peak} ~ 2*10³² cm⁻² s⁻¹ measured*.



CRAB Sextupoles

$$k_{s} = \frac{1}{2\theta} \frac{1}{\beta_{y}^{*} \beta_{y}^{sext}} \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{x}^{*}}{\beta_{x}^{sext}}}$$

 $K_{s=}$ 38.9 m⁻² more than a factor 5 larger than the average required for the normal sextupoles used for chromaticity correction



e⁻ bunch length measurement



e⁺ bunch length measurement



Getting high currents

- Vacuum recovering
- Lower ring impedance
- New injection kickers
- Transverse and Longitudinal Feedback upgrade & optimization
- Winding solenoids (B_{sol} ~ 45 Gauss) in large section of the <u>e⁺ ring only</u>



current [mA]



I⁺ > 1150mA (120 bunches) I⁺ single beam best result

Maximum currents stored in collision



 $I^{-+}_{MAX} \sim 2.4/1.4$ (KLOE run 111 colliding bunches)) $I^{-+}_{MAX} \sim 1.5/1.1$ (FINUDA run 106 colliding bunches)

IP LAYOUT AND LUMINOSITY MONITORS



IP LAYOUT AND LUMINOSITY MONITORS



Vertical beam-beam Luminosity scan

$$\Sigma_{y} = \sqrt{\sigma_{yp}^{2} + \sigma_{ye}^{2}}$$

$$\Sigma_y = \Sigma_y^{meas} * \underline{0.88}$$

Hourglass factor



Measured Σ_y is compatible with the value obtained by using the coupling value (κ ~.7%) measured at the SLM



Beam lifetime is not affected by the CRAB Sextupoles

Luminosity monitors (colliding beams)

Crab On





LUMINOSITY PERFORMANCES

- Specific Luminosity is 3÷4 times higher than during the past best runs, as expected.
- $\xi^- \xi^+$ exhibit a linear behaviour





Achieved 1200mA e^- and 850mA e^+ in collision, L_{max} simultaneous about 700mA against 700 mA



Luminosity at low current

10 colliding bunches $I_b \approx 13$ mA/bunch $L \approx 3x10^{31} cm^{-2}s^{-1}$



Luminosity versus colliding currents

(*L* from BHABHA monitor)



"BEST" INTEGRATED LUMINOSITY on APRIL 25th

Luminosity [cm-2 s-1] - on line FARM process







L measured by Bhabha monitor with background subtraction

Maximum interacting currents ≈800+800 mA

in 90 colliding bunches

$$L_{\int day} = 8 \text{ pb}^{-1}$$

2 hours luminosity



Luminosity by:

- kaon monitor without background subtraction
- -- Bhabha monitor without background subtraction
- Bhabha monitor with background subtraction

$$L_{\int 1h} = .5 \text{ pb}^{-1}$$



L measured by Kaon monitor and by Bhabha calorimeter do not agree:

 $L_{KAON} \sim 1.4 L_{BHABHA}$

due to:

- geometric acceptance which has to be reevaluated by Montecarlo after shielding modification
- assumption in the Montecarlo itself

Background optimization

Background has been optimized by:

- Beam orbit & corrector strengths minimization
- Scrapers position tuning
- Improving beam acceptance
- Tuning the colliding beam positions in the RCR and IR1
- Installing additional shielding around IR1 to improve signal/noise ratio on the Siddharta SDDs.

Still the background rate must be reduced by a factor 5 to fit the requirements imposed by the SIDDHARTA experiment.

Present Achievements

Bunch length ~ 1.7 cm @ 10mA

Stored current e⁻ 1790 mA (95 bunches 450 mA e⁺)

```
Stored current e<sup>-</sup> 1150 mA (120 bunches)
```

Current in collision 1200 mA e⁻ and 1100 mA e⁺ (95 bunches)

Transverse betatron *coupling k ~ 0.4%*

measured $\sigma_v @ IP1 \sim 4 \mu m$

luminosity measured by Bhabha monitor (best values since now):

 $L_{peak} = 2 \cdot 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \Rightarrow \sim 25\%$ better than the past DA Φ NE record (1.6 10³²)

 $L_{\int day} = 8 \ pb^{-1}$ $L_{\int 1 \ hours} = .5 \ pb^{-1}$ (it was .44 pb⁻¹ during KLOE run)



Further developments

- Equalization and availability on line of all kinds of luminosity measurements direct and estimated:
 - Bhabha
 Kaon monitor with background subtraction
 γ monitor
 "geometric" luminosity from currents and beam sizes
- Increase number of colliding bunches from 95 to 110
- β_v^* from 10 to 9 mm
- Faster switch between electron and positron injection and faster positron injection rate
- Increase Crab sextupoles intensity
- Install final Siddharta detector
- Overall luminosity increase by ~50% expected

Perspectives

lower limit

Scaling the present data from the BHABA monitor:

Projecting $L_{\int 1 \text{ hours}} = .5 \text{ pb}^{-1}$ over a day $\Rightarrow L_{\int day} \sim 12 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

Assuming 80% uptime for the collider $\Rightarrow L_{\text{(month}} \sim .3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

Assuming to get $L_{peak} = 4.10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ from the developments under way conservative

$$L_{\int month} \sim .5 \ fb^{-1} \ s$$

SIDDHARTA can acquire in ~ 2 months what FINUDA collected in a six months run



Conclusions

- The **DAΦNE** collider has been successfully commissioned in the new collision scheme mode and is presently delivering luminosity to the Siddharta prototype detector to establish the best background rejection configuration.
- Peak and average luminosity are already sufficient to **perform the Siddharta experiment in few months**, provided background conditions are improved by a significant amount. Tight collaboration with the Siddharta team is under way to reach this goal.
- Further improvements of machine operation are likely to fulfill the requirements for a **future roll-in of KLOE and FINUDA**.