## in-medium properties of hadrons

Volker Metag Stefan Friedrich, Karoly Makonyi, Mariana Nanova, Michaela Thiel II. Physikalisches Institut

> JUSTUS-LIEBIG-UNIVERSITAT GIESSEN

for the CBELSA/TAPS Collaboration

### <u>Outline</u>

- motivation
- experimental approaches for studying in-medium properties of hadrons
- in-medium properties of  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $\Phi$  and  $\eta$ ' meson
- summary and outlook

#### \*funded by the DFG within SFB/TR16



Meson 2012 31 May - 5 June, 2012, Krakow, Poland





### J.Wambach



### J.Wambach

- hadrons = excitations of the QCD vacuum
- QCD vacuum: complicated structure characterized by condensates
- in the nuclear medium: condensates are changed
- ⇒ change of the hadronic excitation energy spectrum



### J.Wambach

- hadrons = excitations of the QCD vacuum
- QCD vacuum: complicated structure characterized by condensates
- in the nuclear medium: condensates are changed
- ⇒ change of the hadronic excitation energy spectrum

V. Bernard and U.-G. Meißner, NPA 489 (1988) 647 G.E.Brown and M. Rho,  $\frac{m^*}{m} \approx \frac{<\bar{q}q>^*}{<\bar{q}q>_0} \approx 0.8(\rho \approx \rho_0)$ PRL 66 (1991) 2720

T.Hatsuda and S. Lee,  $\frac{m_V^{\star}}{m_V} = (1 - \alpha \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}); \alpha \approx 0.18$ PRC 46 (1992) R34  $\frac{m_V^{\star}}{m_V} = (1 - \alpha \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}); \alpha \approx 0.18$ 



### J.Wambach

hadrons = excitations of the QCD vacuum

- QCD vacuum: complicated structure characterized by condensates
- in the nuclear medium: condensates are changed

change of the hadronic excitation energy spectrum

V. Bernard and U.-G. Meißner, NPA 489 (1988) 647 G.E.Brown and M. Rho,  $\frac{m^{\star}}{m} \approx \frac{<\bar{q}q>^{\star}}{<\bar{q}q>_{0}} \approx 0.8(\rho \approx \rho_{0})$ PRL 66 (1991) 2720

T.Hatsuda and S. Lee,  $\frac{m_V^{\star}}{m_V} = (1 - \alpha \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}); \alpha \approx 0.18$ PRC 46 (1992) R34  $\frac{m_V^{\star}}{m_V} = (1 - \alpha \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}); \alpha \approx 0.18$ 

widespread theoretical and experimental activities to search for in-medium modifications of hadrons

# model predictions for in-medium masses of mesons

## model predictions for in-medium masses of mesons

T. Hatsuda and S. Lee Phys. Rev. C 46 (1992) R34



QCD sum rule approach: drop of  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$  mass by about 10% at average nuclear density of 0.6  $\rho$ 

# model predictions for in-medium masses of mesons

T. Hatsuda and S. Lee Phys. Rev. C 46 (1992) R34



QCD sum rule approach: drop of  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$  mass by about 10% at average nuclear density of 0.6  $\rho$  M. Post et al, NPA 741 (2004) 81



- structure in ρ spectral function due to coupling to baryon resonances
- strong momentum dependence
- modifications most pronounced at small momenta

# model predictions for spectral function of the $\omega$ meson

## model predictions for spectral function of the $\omega$ meson

M. Lutz et al., NPA 706 (2002) 431



structure in spectral function due to coupling to baryon resonances: N(1520), N(1535), N(1650)

## model predictions for spectral function of the $\omega$ meson

M. Lutz et al., NPA 706 (2002) 431

P Mühlich et al., NPA 780 (2006) 187





structure in spectral function due to coupling to baryon resonances: N(1520), N(1535), N(1650) spectral function for  $\omega$  meson at rest: splitting into  $\omega$ -like and N\*N<sup>-1</sup> mode due to coupling to S<sub>11</sub> resonance

V. Bernard and U.-G. Meissner, Phys. Rev.D 38 (1988) 1551



almost no dependence of  $\eta$ ' mass on density

V. Bernard and U.-G. Meissner, Phys. Rev.D 38 (1988) 1551







strong variation of  $\eta'$  mass on density

V. Bernard and U.-G. Meissner, Phys. Rev.D 38 (1988) 1551



M. Nagahiro, M. Takizawa and S. Hirenzaki, PRC 74 (2006) 045203 meson mass SU(3)SU(2)  $\Delta m_{\eta'} \approx -150 \text{ MeV/c}^2$  $\Delta m_{\eta} \approx +20 \text{ MeV/c}^2$ π 3 0  $\rho/\rho_{i}$ 

almost no dependence of  $\eta$ ' mass on density strong variation of  $\eta$ ' mass on density **experimental task: search for**  $\begin{cases} mass shift ? \\ broadening ? \\ structures ? \end{cases}$  of hadronic spectral function

ensure acceptance for low meson momenta

calculations of meson spectral functions assume:

- infinitely extended nuclear matter in equilibrium at  $\rho$ ,T = const.;
- meson at rest in nuclear medium

transport calculations calculations are needed for comparison with experiment !!!

calculations of meson spectral functions assume:

- infinitely extended nuclear matter in equilibrium at  $\rho$ ,T = const.;
- meson at rest in nuclear medium

transport calculations calculations are needed for comparison with experiment !!!

theoretical predictions

calculations of meson spectral functions assume:

- infinitely extended nuclear matter in equilibrium at  $\rho$ ,T = const.;
- meson at rest in nuclear medium

transport calculations calculations are needed for comparison with experiment !!!



calculations of meson spectral functions assume:

- infinitely extended nuclear matter in equilibrium at  $\rho$ ,T = const.;
- meson at rest in nuclear medium

transport calculations calculations are needed for comparison with experiment !!!



calculations of meson spectral functions assume:

- infinitely extended nuclear matter in equilibrium at  $\rho$ ,T = const.;
- meson at rest in nuclear medium

# transport calculations calculations are needed for comparison with experiment !!!



- initial state effects: absorption of incoming beam particles
- non equilibrium effects: varying density and temperature
- absorption and regeneration of mesons
- fraction of decays outside of the nuclear environment
- final state interactions: distortion of momenta of decay products

# status of experiments in 2008/09

	M.Naruki et al., PRL 96 (2006) R. Muto et al., PRL 98 (2007)	T. Ishikawa et al., PLB608 (2005)	R.Nasseripour et al., PRL 99 (2007)	D. Trnka et al, PRL 94 (2005) M. Kotulla et al. PRL 100 (2008)	D. Adamova et al., PLB 666 (2008)	R. Arnaldi et al., PRL 96 (2006)
	KEK	Spring8	Jlab	CBELSA TAPS	CERES	NA60
reaction	p A 12 GeV	γ A 1.5-2.4 GeV	γ A 0.6-3.8 GeV	γ A 0.7-2.5 GeV	Au+Au 158 AGeV	In+In 158 AGeV
momentum acceptance	p > 0.5 GeV/c	p > 1.0 GeV/c	p > 0.8 GeV/c	p > 0.0 GeV/c	p <sub>t</sub> > 0.0 GeV/c	p <sub>t</sub> > 0.0 GeV/c
ρ	$\frac{\Delta m}{m} = -9\%$		$\Delta m \approx 0$ $\Delta \Gamma \approx 70 \text{MeV}$ $(\rho \approx \frac{\rho_0}{2})$		broadening favoured over density dependent mass shift	∆m ≈ 0 strong broadening
ω	ΔI ≈ 0		2	$\Delta m \approx 0$ $\Delta \Gamma \approx 130 \text{ MeV}$ $(\rho \approx \rho_0)$		
¢	$\frac{\Delta m}{m} = -3.4\%$ $\frac{\Gamma_{\bullet}(\rho_{\circ})}{\Gamma_{\bullet}} = 3.6$	$\Delta \Gamma \approx 60 \text{MeV} \\ \left( \rho \approx \rho_0 \right)$				

# experimental approaches for studying in-medium effects of mesons in photon- and proton- induced reactions

**Reviews:** 

- R.S. Hayano and T. Hatsuda, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82 (2010) 2949
- R. Rapp, J. Wambach, H. van Hees, ArXiv:0901.3289, Landolt-Börnstein vol/23, 4-1 (2010)
- S. Leupold, V. Metag, and U. Mosel, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E19 (2010) 147

I.) measurement of transparency ratio:  $T_A = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \to V X}}{A \cdot \sigma_{\gamma N \to V X}}$ 

2.) lineshape analysis:  $M \rightarrow X_1 + X_2$ ;  $m_V(\rho, \vec{p}) = \sqrt{(p_1 + p_2)^2}$ 

3.) search for meson-nucleus bound states

# measurement of the transparency ratio

$$T_A = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \to \omega X}}{A \cdot \sigma_{\gamma N \to \omega X}}$$

attenuation measurement of meson flux:

$$T_A = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \to \eta' X}}{A \cdot \sigma_{\gamma N \to \eta' X}}$$

production probability per nucleon within the nucleus compared to production probability on the free nucleon



attenuation measurement of meson flux:

$$T_A = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \to \eta' X}}{A \cdot \sigma_{\gamma N \to \eta' X}}$$

production probability per nucleon within the nucleus compared to production probability on the free nucleon

 $\frac{\gamma}{n'}$ 

inelastic reactions remove  $\omega$ ,  $\eta'$  mesons, e.g.  $\omega$ ,  $\eta' N \rightarrow \pi N$ shortening of  $\omega$ ,  $\eta'$  lifetime in the medium  $\Rightarrow$  increase in width low density approximation:  $\Gamma(\rho) = -\frac{Im\Pi(\rho)}{E} \sim \rho v \sigma_{inel}$ ;  $\Gamma(\rho) = \Gamma(\rho_0) \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$ 

attenuation measurement of meson flux:

$$T_A = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \to \eta' X}}{A \cdot \sigma_{\gamma N \to \eta' X}}$$

production probability per nucleon within the nucleus compared to production probability on the free nucleon



inelastic reactions remove  $\omega$ ,  $\eta'$  mesons, e.g.  $\omega$ ,  $\eta' N \rightarrow \pi N$ shortening of  $\omega$ ,  $\eta'$  lifetime in the medium  $\Rightarrow$  increase in width low density approximation:  $\Gamma(\rho) = -\frac{Im\Pi(\rho)}{E} \sim \rho v \sigma_{inel}$ ;  $\Gamma(\rho) = \Gamma(\rho_0) \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}$ 

in-medium  $\omega$ ,  $\eta'$  = quasi-particles with properties reflecting the interaction with the medium

applicable to any meson lifetime !!

information on in-medium properties of mesons from measurement of their decay outside of the nucleus

### I.) photon shadowing:

due to hadronic fluctuations photons
do not reach all nucleons
⇒ apparent reduction of transparency ratio



### I.) photon shadowing:

due to hadronic fluctuations photons
do not reach all nucleons
⇒ apparent reduction of transparency ratio

### 2.) multi-step processes:

e.g.  $\gamma N_1 \rightarrow \pi N_1$  $\pi N_2 \rightarrow \omega, \eta' N_2$ 

### ⇒ apparent increase of transparency ratio at low meson momenta

second generation particles have on average lower momenta

 $\Rightarrow$  momentum dependence of transparency ratio



π/η

### I.) photon shadowing:

due to hadronic fluctuations photons
do not reach all nucleons
⇒ apparent reduction of transparency ratio

### 2.) multi-step processes:

e.g.  $\gamma N_1 \rightarrow \pi N_1$  $\pi N_2 \rightarrow \omega, \eta' N_2$ 

### ⇒ apparent increase of transparency ratio at low meson momenta

second generation particles have on average lower momenta

 $\Rightarrow$  momentum dependence of transparency ratio

### 3.) two-body absorption processes:

absorption processs involving 2 nucleons distort  $\Gamma \rightarrow \sigma_{inel}$  conversion



### I.) photon shadowing:

due to hadronic fluctuations photons
do not reach all nucleons
⇒ apparent reduction of transparency ratio

### 2.) multi-step processes:

e.g.  $\gamma N_1 \rightarrow \pi N_1$  $\pi N_2 \rightarrow \omega, \eta' N_2$ 

### ⇒ apparent increase of transparency ratio at low meson momenta

second generation particles have on average lower momenta

 $\Rightarrow$  momentum dependence of transparency ratio

### 3.) two-body absorption processes:

absorption processs involving 2 nucleons distort  $\Gamma \rightarrow \sigma_{inel}$  conversion

distortions can be reduced by taking light nucleus like C as reference:

$$T_A^C = \frac{11 \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A \to \omega, \eta' X}}{A_{eff} \cdot \sigma_{\gamma C \to \omega, \eta' X}}$$

N. Bianchi et al., Phys. Rev. C 54 (1996)1688 1.0 0.9 A<sub>eff</sub> / A 0.8 0.7 0.6 Pb 0.5 1 10 Photon energy [GeV] γ n π/η

# photoproduction of $\omega$ and $\eta$ ' mesons off C, Ca, Nb, Pb

# photoproduction of $\omega$ and $\eta$ ' mesons off C, Ca, Nb, Pb

CB/TAPS@ELSA



M. Kotulla et al, PRL 100 (2008) 192302

 $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \rightarrow 3\gamma$ 

# photoproduction of $\omega$ and $\eta$ ' mesons off C, Ca, Nb, Pb

CB/TAPS@ELSA


### extraction of in-medium width and inelastic cross section from $T_{\mathsf{A}}$

transparency ratio normalized to C: 
$$T_A^C = \frac{11 \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A \to \omega, \eta' X}}{A_{eff} \cdot \sigma_{\gamma C \to \omega, \eta' X}}$$

### extraction of in-medium width and inelastic cross section from $T_A$

transparency ratio normalized to C: 
$$T_A^C = \frac{11 \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A \to \omega, \eta' X}}{A_{eff} \cdot \sigma_{\gamma C \to \omega, \eta' X}}$$



### extraction of in-medium width and inelastic cross section from $T_{\mathsf{A}}$

transparency ratio normalized to C:  $T_A^C = \frac{11 \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A \to \omega, \eta' X}}{A_{eff} \cdot \sigma_{\gamma C \to \omega, \eta' X}}$ 

comparison to calculations: Mühlich and Mosel; Ramos and Oset



### extraction of in-medium width and inelastic cross section from $T_{\mathsf{A}}$

transparency ratio normalized to C: 
$$T_A^C = \frac{11 \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A \to \omega, \eta' X}}{A_{eff} \cdot \sigma_{\gamma C \to \omega, \eta' X}}$$

#### comparison to calculations: Mühlich and Mosel; Ramos and Oset



### extraction of in-medium width and inelastic cross section from $T_A$

transparency ratio normalized to C: 
$$T_A^C = \frac{11 \cdot \sigma_{\gamma A \to \omega, \eta' X}}{A_{eff} \cdot \sigma_{\gamma C \to \omega, \eta' X}}$$

#### comparison to calculations: Mühlich and Mosel; Ramos and Oset



13

#### M. Kotulla et al., PRL 100 (2008) 192302

 $\omega$  meson



M. Kotulla et al., PRL 100 (2008) 192302 M. Nanova et al., PLB 710 (2012) 600



η' meson



M. Kotulla et al., PRL 100 (2008) 192302 M. Nanova et al., PLB 710 (2012) 600



η' meson



no strong variation of transparency ratio with meson momentum;  $\Rightarrow$  no evidence for two-step processes

### momentum dependence of in-medium width $\Gamma$ and $\sigma_{\text{inel}}$

low density approximation:  $\Gamma(\rho_0) = \hbar c \cdot \beta \cdot \rho_0 \cdot \sigma_{inel}$ 

### momentum dependence of in-medium width $\Gamma$ and $\sigma_{\text{inel}}$

low density approximation:  $\Gamma(\rho_0) = \hbar c \cdot \beta \cdot \rho_0 \cdot \sigma_{inel}$ 

M. Kotulla et al., PRL 100 (2008) 192302

 $\omega$  meson



### momentum dependence of in-medium width $\Gamma$ and $\sigma_{\text{inel}}$

low density approximation:  $\Gamma(\rho_0) = \hbar c \cdot \beta \cdot \rho_0 \cdot \sigma_{inel}$ 



### momentum dependence in transparency ratio: dilepton production

HADES M. Lorenz: A2; L. Fabietti arXiv:1205.1918

 $\pi^{0}, \eta \rightarrow \gamma e^{+}e^{-}; \omega \rightarrow \pi^{0}e^{+}e^{-}; \Delta, N^{*} \rightarrow Ne^{+}e^{-}; \rho, \omega \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}$ 



### momentum dependence in transparency ratio: dilepton production

HADES M. Lorenz: A2; L. Fabietti arXiv:1205.1918

 $\pi^{0}, \eta \rightarrow \gamma e^{+}e^{-}; \omega \rightarrow \pi^{0}e^{+}e^{-}; \Delta, N^{*} \rightarrow Ne^{+}e^{-}; \rho, \omega \rightarrow e^{+}e^{-}$ 



### momentum dependence in transparency ratio: dilepton production



momentum dependence of dilepton spectra;  $\Rightarrow$  two-step production processes the higher the e+e- invariant mass the stronger the momentum dependence; no momentum dependence for transparency ratio of identified  $\omega$ 

### momentum dependence of $\Phi$ meson transparency ratio

#### **ANKE @COSY:** $p(2.83 \text{ GeV}) \rightarrow C, Cu, Ag, Au$



transparency ratio momentum dependent:  $\Rightarrow$  two-step production processes important

### momentum dependence of $\Phi$ meson transparency ratio



evidence for increase of  $\Phi$  meson width with momentum, consistent with earlier Spring8 and JLab measurements

$$M \to X_1 + X_2; \quad m(\rho, \vec{p}) = \sqrt{(p_1 + p_2)^2}$$

sensitive to nuclear density at decay point

$$M \to X_1 + X_2; \quad m(\rho, \vec{p}) = \sqrt{(p_1 + p_2)^2}$$

sensitive to nuclear density at decay point

1.) ensure sizable fraction of decays in the nuclear medium:  $\Rightarrow$  select short lived mesons or cut on recoil momentum

$$M \to X_1 + X_2; \quad m(\rho, \vec{p}) = \sqrt{(p_1 + p_2)^2}$$

sensitive to nuclear density at decay point

- 1.) ensure sizable fraction of decays in the nuclear medium:  $\Rightarrow$  select short lived mesons or cut on recoil momentum
- 2.) avoid distortion of 4-momentum vectors by final state interactions  $\Rightarrow$  dilepton spectroscopy:  $\rho, \omega, \Phi \rightarrow e^+e^-$

disadvantage: small branching ratio  $\approx 10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma \rightarrow 3\gamma$ ; br=8.3%; disadvantage:  $\pi^0$ -FSI

$$M \to X_1 + X_2; \quad m(\rho, \vec{p}) = \sqrt{(p_1 + p_2)^2}$$

sensitive to nuclear density at decay point

- I.) ensure sizable fraction of decays in the nuclear medium:  $\Rightarrow$  select short lived mesons or cut on recoil momentum
- 2.) avoid distortion of 4-momentum vectors by final state interactions ⇒ dilepton spectroscopy: ρ, ω, Φ → e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> disadvantage: small branching ratio ≈ 10<sup>-4</sup> 10<sup>-5</sup> ω→π<sup>0</sup>γ→3γ; br=8.3%; disadvantage: π<sup>0</sup>-FSI
- 3.) measured mass distribution = convolution of spectral function with branching ratio into final state:  $\frac{d\sigma}{dm} \sim A(m,p) \cdot \frac{\Gamma_{M \to X_1 + X_2}}{\Gamma_{tot}}$

### $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ lineshape analysis

E<sub>Y</sub>=900 -1300 MeV



systematic uncertainties due to different background subtraction techniques M. Nanova et al. PRC 82 (2010) 035209

### $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ lineshape analysis

comparison with reference measurement



no significant structure in spectral function; signal on Nb,C slightly broader than on LH<sub>2</sub>

M.Thiel

### $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$ lineshape analysis

#### **M**.Thiel



dilepton invariant mass spectra

HADES arXiv:1205.1918 M. Lorenz: A2; L. Fabietti



shape of m<sub>ee</sub> spectrum in p+Nb identical to reference spectrum in p+p dilepton invariant mass spectra

HADES arXiv:1205.1918 M. Lorenz: A2; L. Fabietti



shape of m<sub>ee</sub> spectrum in p+Nb identical to reference spectrum in p+p

- strong e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> excess yield below ω peak attributed to ρ-like channels;
- no hint for change in  $\omega$  line shape;
- $\bullet$  strong  $\omega$  absorption confirmed

### comparison to Gi BUU simulations

J.Weil, H. van Hees, U. Mosel; arXiv:1203.3557

#### comparison to different in-medium modification scenarios

p+Nb at 3.5 GeV



- difficult to distinguish between different in-medium scenarios:
  - ⇒ best agreement with data for collisional broadening scenario
  - $\Rightarrow$  mass shift tends to deteriorate agreement with data
  - $\Rightarrow$  difficult to disentangle  $\rho$ ,  $\omega$  contributions and to extract individual in-medium properties

# Search for meson-nucleus bound states

recoil free production in  $(d, {}^{3}He)$  reaction

recoil free production in (d,<sup>3</sup>He) reaction

Suzuki et al., PRL 92 (20004) 072302



recoil free production in  $(d, {}^{3}He)$  reaction





recoil free production in  $(d, {}^{3}He)$  reaction





remaining systematic uncertainty in

 $< r_n^2 >^{1/2} - < r_p^2 >^{1/2}$  for odd A nuclei

# population of meson-nucleus bound states in recoil-less kinematics

is  $\omega$  A attraction strong enough to allow for  $\omega$ -nucleus bound states ??



### outlook : search for $\eta$ ' mesic states

GSI

## J-PARC

<sup>12</sup>C(π<sup>+</sup>,p) η'X @ 1.8 GeV/c

H. Nagahiro, S. Hirenzaki, E. Oset and A. Ramos PLB 709 (2012) 87





ELSA

<sup>12</sup>C(γ,η')X @ 1.5-2.9 GeV

H. Nagahiro, S. Hirenzaki, E. Oset and A. Ramos PLB 709 (2012) 87



narrow peaks for  $\eta^{\prime}$  mesic states predicted

### Summary

- observables for extracting in-medium properties of mesons:
- transparency ratio: (CBELSA/TAPS, ANKE, CLAS, LEPS) in-medium broadening of ω, η', Φ mesons;

	Γ(ρ <sub>0</sub> ) [MeV]	[GeV/c]	$\sigma_{inel}$ [mb]
ω	130-150	١,١	≈ 60
η'	15-25	١,١	3-10
Φ	30-60	0.6-1.4	14-21

- <u>ρ,ω-line shape analysis</u>: (CB@MAMI, HADES) no evidence for structures or mass shifts; limited sensitivity due to strong in-medium broadening and small fraction of in-medium decays; π-FSI for ω→π<sup>0</sup>γ
- meson-nucleus bound states: (GSI, RIKEN, CBELSA/TAPS, JPARC) deeply bound pionic states: drop of chiral condensate in the medium search for ω mesic states ongoing search for η' mesic states planned

meson spectral functions do change in the nuclear environment !! 27

### experiments
### experiments

#### • heavy-ion collisions:

CERN SPS: HELIOS-3, CERES, NA60,  $\sqrt{s} = 17$  GeV; BNL RHIC: PHENIX, STAR,  $\sqrt{s} = 200$  GeV; probes: e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>,  $\mu^+\mu^-$ , acceptance for p<sub>t</sub>> 0 MeV/c

#### experiments

heavy-ion collisions:

CERN SPS: HELIOS-3, CERES, NA60,  $\sqrt{s} = 17$  GeV; BNL RHIC: PHENIX, STAR,  $\sqrt{s} = 200$  GeV; probes: e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>,  $\mu^+\mu^-$ , acceptance for  $p_t > 0$  MeV/c

• proton induced reactions:

KEK: 12 GeV p; probes: e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>; acceptnace for meson momenta > 800 MeV/c COSY ANKE: p 1.0 - 3.5 GeV/c; probes : K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>; acceptance for meson momenta 0.6-1.6 GeV/c

#### experiments

heavy-ion collisions:

CERN SPS: HELIOS-3, CERES, NA60,  $\sqrt{s} = 17$  GeV; BNL RHIC: PHENIX, STAR,  $\sqrt{s} = 200$  GeV; probes: e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>,  $\mu^+\mu^-$ , acceptance for  $p_t > 0$  MeV/c

• proton induced reactions:

KEK: 12 GeV p; probes: e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>; acceptnace for meson momenta > 800 MeV/c COSY ANKE: p 1.0 - 3.5 GeV/c; probes : K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>; acceptance for meson momenta 0.6-1.6 GeV/c

• photon induced reactions:

SPRING8: 1.4-2.5 GeV probes K<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup>; acceptance for meson momenta > 1.2 GeV/c JLab CLAS: 0.6-3.5 GeV probes: e+e-; acceptance for meson momenta > 0.8 GeV/c CBELSA/TAPS: 0.9-2.5 GeV probes: photons; acceptance for meson momenta > 0 MeV/c CB/TAPS@MAMI: 0.9-1.4 GeV probes: photons; acceptance for meson momenta > 0 MeV/c



$$\sigma_{\gamma A \to \eta' A'} = C \int d^3 r \rho(\vec{r}) \int_0^{2\pi} d(\phi_{\text{c.m.}}^{\eta'}) \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \theta_{\text{c.m.}}^{\eta'}) \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} (\gamma p \to \eta' p) P_s(\vec{k}_{\eta'}, \vec{r})$$



$$\sigma_{\gamma A \to \eta' A'} = C \int d^{3} r \rho(\vec{r}) \int_{0}^{2\pi} d(\phi_{c.m.}^{\eta'}) \int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos \theta_{c.m.}^{\eta'}) \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} (\gamma p \to \eta' p) P_{s}(\vec{k}_{\eta'}, \vec{r})$$
where P<sub>s</sub>( $\vec{r}$ ) is the survival probability  $P_{s}(\vec{k}_{\eta'}, \vec{r}') = \exp\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} dl \frac{\operatorname{Im} \Pi_{\eta'}(\rho(\vec{r}'))}{|\vec{k}_{\eta'}|}\right]$  with  $\vec{r}' = \vec{r} + l \frac{\vec{k}_{\eta'}}{|\vec{k}_{\eta'}|}$ 
M. Kaskulov, E. Hernandez, E. Oset P. Mühlich and U. Mosel PJ A 31 (2007) 245 P. Martin and U. Mosel NPA 773 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P. A 31 (2006) 156 P. A 31 (2007) 245 P.

TI. NOLUNA EL AL, TINL JUZ 

$$\sigma_{\gamma A \to \eta' A'} = C \int d^3 r \rho(\vec{r}) \int_0^{2\pi} d(\phi_{c.m.}^{\eta'}) \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos \theta_{c.m.}^{\eta'}) \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} (\gamma p \to \eta' p) P_s(\vec{k}_{\eta'}, \vec{r}')$$
where  $P_s(\vec{r})$  is the survival probability  $P_s(\vec{k}_{\eta'}, \vec{r}') = \exp\left[\int_0^{\infty} dl \frac{\operatorname{Im} \Pi_{\eta'}(\rho(\vec{r}'))}{|\vec{k}_{\eta'}||}\right]$  with  $\vec{r}' = \vec{r} + l \frac{\vec{k}_{\eta'}}{|\vec{k}_{\eta'}||}$ 
M. Kaskulov, E. Hernandez, E. Oset P. Mühlich and U. Mosel EPJ A 31 (2007) 245 P. Mühlich and U. Mosel NPA 773 (2006) 156 M. Nanova et al.
$$\int_{-1}^{\pi} \frac{1}{10} \int_{-1}^{10} \frac{1}{10^2} \int_{-1$$

$$\sigma_{\gamma A \to \eta' A'} = C \int d^{3}r \rho(\vec{r}') \int_{0}^{2\pi} d(\phi_{c.m.}^{\eta'}) \int_{-1}^{1} d(\cos \theta_{c.m.}^{\eta'}) \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} (\gamma p \to \eta' p) P_{s}(\vec{k}_{\eta'}, \vec{r}')$$
where P\_s(\vec{r}) is the survival probability  $P_{s}(\vec{k}_{\eta'}, \vec{r}') = \exp\left[\int_{0}^{\infty} dl \frac{\operatorname{Im} \prod_{\eta'}(\rho(\vec{r}'))}{|\vec{k}_{\eta'}|}\right]$  with  $\vec{r}' = \vec{r} + l \frac{\vec{k}_{\eta'}}{|\vec{k}_{\eta'}|}$ 
M. Kaskulov, E. Hernandez, E. Oset P. Mühlich and U. Mosel EPJ A 31 (2007) 245 P. MÜhlich and U. Mosel NPA 773 (2006) 156 M. Nanova et al.
$$\int_{0.9}^{0.8} \frac{1}{0.9} \int_{0.8}^{0.7} \frac{1}{0.$$

## study of $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ in-medum signal in GiBUU simulations

### study of $\omega$ in-medum signal in GiBUU simulations

S. Friedrich: density distributions



average density finally probed only  $<\rho>\approx 1/4 \rho_0$ 

## study of $\omega$ in-medum signal in GiBUU simulations

S. Friedrich: density distributions



average density finally probed only  $\langle \rho \rangle \approx 1/4 \rho_0$ 10 000  $\omega$  produced 220  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  decays 125  $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \gamma$  decays reconstructed

## study of $\omega$ in-medum signal in GiBUU simulations

