Heavy Meson Molecules in Effective Field Theory

J. Nieves & M. Pavón Valderrama

Instituto de Física Corpuscular (IFIC), Valencia

Meson 2012, Krakow

Heavy Meson Bound States - p. 1

Contents

Are there heavy meson bound states?

- Early Speculations and Explorations
- The X(3872) State and the Charm Sector
- The Z_b States and the Bottom Sector
- Heavy meson bound states within the EFT framework
 - Contact interactions are dominant.
 - Predictions from Heavy Quark Spin Symmetry.
- Conclusions

PRD 84 (056015), arXiv:1204:2400, arXiv:1204:2790.

The Two Nucleon / Heavy Meson System

Observation: the force between two heavy mesons should be similar to the nuclear forces (Voloshin and Okun, 76).

The nuclear force is mediated by meson exchange



and the same thing happens between heavy mesons (provided they contain light quarks).

 Therefore, in analogy to the deuteron we should expect heavy meson bound (or "molecular") states

Heavy Meson Bound States

Early Speculations and Explorations:

- De Rújula, Georgi, Glashow (77): $\Psi(4040)$ as a $D^*\overline{D}^*$ resonance
- Jaffe (77): $f_0(980)$ and $a_0(980)$ as $K\bar{K}$ bound states.
- Weinstein, Isgur (82-83): apart from f_0 and a_0 , molecular states are unlikely in the light quark sector.
- Törnqvist (93): OPE + monopolar form factor generate several possible molecular states in the charm and bottom sectors.
- Ericson, Karl (93): two body systems are more likely to bind if the reduced mass is increased; thus even weak central OPE may be eventually enough to form molecular states.

The X(3872) and the Charm Sector (I)

Discovered by the Belle collaboration in $B^{\pm} \rightarrow K^{\pm} J / \Psi \pi \pi$ (03):



... and later confirmed by D0 and CDF.

The X(3872) and the Charm Sector (II)

Properties: $M_X = 3871.56 \pm 0.22 \text{ MeV}, I^G = 0^+, J^{PC} = 1^{++}/2^{-+}.$

- It's a hidden charm state ($X \rightarrow J/\Psi \pi \pi$)
- It's very close to the $D^0 \overline{D}^{0*}$ threshold ($M_{\rm th} = 3871.79 \pm 0.21 \,{
 m MeV}$)

The perfect candidate for a $D\bar{D}^*$ molecular state! (if $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$)

...and the perfect playground for theoreticians.

Molecular descriptions can be further subdivided into:

- Finite range theories: OBE + form factors (a la Törnqvist).
- Contact range theories: X bound by short range dynamics.
 Voloshin (03); Braaten, Kusunoki (03); X-EFT by Fleming et al. (04)

Alternative explanations (tetraquark, charmonium, etc.) also possible.

The X(3872) and the Charm Sector (III)

But there are many other X, Y and Z states *. Some may be molecular states too:

- X(3915) as $D^{*+}D^{*-}$ and Y(4140) as $D_s^*\bar{D_s}^*$ (Liu, Zhu 09; Branz, Gutsche, Lyubovitskij 09; Ding 09)
- Y(4660) / X(4630) as $f_0(980)\psi'$ (Guo, Hanhart, Meißner 08; Guo, Haidenbauer, Hanhart, Meißner 10)
- Y(4260) as $J/\Psi K\bar{K}$ (three body structure) (Martinez Torres, Khemchandani, Gamermann, Oset 09)
- X(3915), Z(3930) and X(4160) dynamically generated states (Molina, Oset 09)
- Plus many other proposals (the list is really long).
- *: X(3872/3915/3940/4160/4630/...), Y(3940/4008/4260/4350/4660/...),Z(3930/4050/4250/4430/...)

Heavy Meson Bound States – p. 7

The Z_b 's and the Bottom Sector

Belle collaboration:

 $Z_b(10610), Z_b(10650)$ at $M = 10608.4 \pm 2.0, M = 10653.2 \pm 1.5 \,\mathrm{MeV}$

- $I^G = 1^+$, $J^{pc} = 1^{+-}$ (most probably).
- Close to $B\bar{B}^*$ and $B^*\bar{B}^*$ thresholds: 10604.4 and 10650.2 MeV Strong candidate for molecular (not necessarily resonant) state
- Caveat: they are not necessarily above threshold.
 Cleven, Guo, Hanhart, Meißner (11)
- Unexpected: no OBE model seriously predicted isovector states Why? OPE is relatively weak in isovectors.

The Effective Field Theory Aproach (I)

The Nuclear / Heavy Meson EFT Approach:

- There is a separation of scales:
 - Long distances dominated by pion dynamics, while short distances unkown and phenomenological.
 - A low energy expansion (power counting) can be formulated.
- Nucleons / Heavy mesons are heavy: we can define a non-relativistic potential (ever heard of Weinberg counting?)
- There are symmetries:
 - Chiral symmetry constains pion exchanges.
 - For heavy mesons there is Heavy Quark Spin Symmetry.

The Effective Field Theory Approach (II)

- Power counting makes the EFT systematic:
 - In EFT we have a separation of scales:

$$\underbrace{|\vec{q}| \sim p \sim m_{\pi} \sim}_{\text{the known physics}} \qquad Q \ll \Lambda_0 \quad \underbrace{\sim m_{\rho} \sim M_N \sim 4\pi f_{\pi} \ll m_Q}_{\text{the unknown physics}}$$

Power counting refers to the scaling with respect to Q:

$$V^{(\nu)}(\lambda Q) = \lambda^{\nu} V^{(\nu)}(Q)$$

Taking $\lambda \to 0$ is equivalent to $Q/\Lambda_0 \to 0$: contributions of higher order are very small for large separation of scales. Thus we can write:

$$V_{\rm EFT} = V^{(-1)} + V^{(0)} + V^{(1)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{Q}{\Lambda_0}\right)^2\right)$$

The Leading Order Potential (I)

Leading order: one pion exchange and two contact interactions:



(the diagrams are particularized for the $P\bar{P}^*$ case)

- The naive scaling of OPE and contacts is Q^0 .
- However, there are shallow bound states: the contacts get promoted to order Q⁻¹, defining a simpler leading order.
 Kaplan, Savage, Wise (98); Gegelia (98); van Kolck (98); Birse el al. (98).
- Particle channel transitions like $P\bar{P} \rightarrow P^*\bar{P}^*$ also suppressed.
- All other possible diagrams are higher order (Q^2 at least).

The Leading Order Potential (I)

Leading order: one pion exchange and two contact interactions:



(the diagrams are particularized for the $P\bar{P}^*$ case)

- The naive scaling of OPE and contacts is Q^0 .
- However, there are shallow bound states: the contacts get promoted to order Q⁻¹, defining a simpler leading order.
 Kaplan, Savage, Wise (98); Gegelia (98); van Kolck (98); Birse el al. (98).
- Particle channel transitions like $P\bar{P} \rightarrow P^*\bar{P}^*$ also suppressed.
- All other possible diagrams are higher order (Q^2 at least).

The OPE Potential (I)

The one pion exchange potential is given by:

$$\tilde{V}_{\text{OPE}}(\vec{q}) = -\eta \, \frac{g^2}{2f_\pi^2} \, \vec{\tau}_2 \cdot \vec{\tau}_1 \, \frac{\vec{b}_2 \cdot \vec{q} \, \vec{a}_1 \cdot \vec{q}}{\vec{q}^2 + \mu^2 + i\epsilon}$$

Tensor force: mixes different angular momentum channels.

• From HQSS, OPE mixes the different $P\bar{P}$, $P\bar{P}^*$ / $P^*\bar{P}$ and $P^*\bar{P}$ particle channels.

If we consider charmed mesons:

- $g \simeq 0.6$ from $\Gamma(D^* \to D\pi)$ results in a very weak potential.
- The $D\bar{D}^* \rightarrow D^*\bar{D}$ channel potential has $\mu^2 < 0$: small imaginary piece related to $DD^* \rightarrow DD\pi$. Baru, Filin, Hanhart, Kalashnikova, Kudryavtsev, Nefediev (11)
 - The static approximation also fails for $D\bar{D}/D\bar{D}^* \rightarrow D^*\bar{D}^*$.

Heavy Meson Bound States – p. 12

The OPE Potential (II)

A closer look at the particle channel structure

$$\begin{split} V_{F,\mathrm{H}\bar{\mathrm{H}}}^{(0)}(\vec{q}) &= \frac{g^2}{2f_\pi^2} \, \frac{\vec{\tau}_1 \cdot \vec{\tau}_2}{\vec{q}^2 + m_\pi^2} \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\epsilon_{1'}^* \cdot \vec{q} \, \epsilon_{2'}^* \cdot \vec{q} \\ 0 & 0 & -\epsilon_{1} \cdot \vec{q} \, \epsilon_{2'}^* \cdot \vec{q} & +\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{q} \, \epsilon_{2'}^* \cdot \vec{q} \\ 0 & -\epsilon_{1'}^* \cdot \vec{q} \, \epsilon_{2} \cdot \vec{q} & 0 & -\epsilon_{1'}^* \cdot \vec{q} \, \vec{S}_2 \cdot \vec{q} \\ -\epsilon_{1} \cdot \vec{q} \, \epsilon_{2} \cdot \vec{q} & +\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{q} \, \epsilon_{2} \cdot \vec{q} & -\epsilon_{1} \cdot \vec{q} \, \vec{S}_2 \cdot \vec{q} & +\vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{q} \, \vec{S}_2 \cdot \vec{q} \end{pmatrix} \\ + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{m_Q}) \end{split}$$

in the particle basis $\mathcal{B} = \{P\bar{P}, P\bar{P}^*, P^*\bar{P}, P^*\bar{P}^*\}$ indicates a quite rich (i.e. computationally difficult) coupled channel structure.

The Contact Operators

Alfiky, Gabbiani, Petrov (06): HQSS implies the existence of two contact operators at the lowest order

$$\mathcal{L} = C_{0a} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\bar{H}^{(Q)} H^{(Q)} \gamma_{\mu} \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[H^{(\bar{Q})} \bar{H}^{(\bar{Q})} \gamma_{\mu} \right] + C_{0b} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\bar{H}^{(Q)} H^{(Q)} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \right] \operatorname{Tr} \left[H^{(\bar{Q})} \bar{H}^{(\bar{Q})} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \right]$$

- C_{0a} gives the same contribution for PP, PP* and P*P*
- C_{0b} mixes the different PP, PP* and P*P* channels and its effect changes depending on the C-parity.

HQSS reduces the possible number of counterterms from six to two!

Simplifications in the Power Counting

OPE mixes angular momentum and particle channels: quite involved! However, there are important simplifications:

• For shallow bound states, such as the X(3872), the contact interactions are enhanced with respect to OPE:

$$C_0 \sim \frac{1}{m_Q \gamma}$$

with $\gamma \sim m_{\pi} \sim Q$. They are not Q^0 but Q^{-1} !! Kaplan, Savage, Wise (98); Gegelia (98); van Kolck (98); Birse el al. (98).

Coupled channels effects are also suppressed: transition such as $P\bar{P} \rightarrow P^*\bar{P}^*$ are suppressed by an additional factor of Q^2 .

The outcome: tremendous simplifications at lowest order (now Q^{-1}).

The (New) Leading Order Potential:

We have the following Q^{-1} contact range interactions:

$$V_{P\bar{P}}^{(-1)}(\vec{q}, 0^{++}) = C_{0a},$$

$$V_{P^*\bar{P}/P\bar{P}^*}^{(-1)}(\vec{q}, 1^{+-}) = C_{0a} - C_{0b},$$

$$V_{P^*\bar{P}/P\bar{P}^*}^{(-1)}(\vec{q}, 1^{++}) = C_{0a} + C_{0b},$$

$$V_{P^*\bar{P}^*}^{(-1)}(\vec{q}, 0^{++}) = C_{0a} - 2C_{0b}$$

$$V_{P^*\bar{P}^*}^{(-1)}(\vec{q}, 1^{+-}) = C_{0a} - C_{0b},$$

$$V_{P^*\bar{P}^*}^{(-1)}(\vec{q}, 2^{++}) = C_{0a} + C_{0b}.$$

where we can appreciate certain patterns, for example:

• $V^{(-1)}(1^{+-})$: similar binding for $Z_b(10610)$ and $Z_b(10650)$. Cleven et al. (11); Voloshin (11); Mehen and Powell (11).

HQSS, the X(3872) and the X(4012)

There is another pair of quantum numbers with identical LO potentials: (1)

•
$$V_{\mathbf{P}^*\bar{\mathbf{P}}/\mathbf{P}\bar{\mathbf{P}}^*}^{(-1)}(1^{++}) = V_{\mathbf{P}^*\bar{\mathbf{P}}^*}^{(-1)}(2^{++})$$

which implies the following:

If the X(3872) is a $D\overline{D}^*/D^*\overline{D}$ molecule with $J^{PC} = 1^{++}$, then there should be a $X(4012) D^*\overline{D}^*$ molecule with $J^{PC} = 2^{++}$.

Comments:

- Isospin symmetric limit: the X(3872) is a $D\bar{D}^*/D^*\bar{D}$ molecular state with binding $B_X \simeq 4 \,\mathrm{MeV}$.
- HQSS violations (~ $\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}{m_c}$): $M = 4012^{+4}_{-9}$ MeV.
- OPE effects (without particle mixing) are small: $\Delta M = 2 3 \text{ MeV}$.

Bottomline: the X(4012) prediction looks quite solid.

HQSS: Predicting Further States (I)

There are two counteterms at LO: C_{0a} and C_{0b} :

- The X(3872) can fix a linear combination ($C_{0a} + C_{0b}$) and thus predict one HQSS partner, the X(4012).
- We need to assume the molecular nature of another state to fix C_{0b} and predict the full spectrum of $D^{(*)}\overline{D}^{(*)}$ molecules.

Candidates:

- X(3915) compatible with a $D^*\bar{D}^*$ 0⁺⁺ molecule (Liu, Zhu 09; Branz, Gutsche, Lyubovitskij 09; Ding 09)
- X(3940) could also be $D^*\overline{D}^*$ molecule. However:
 - Decays mostly to $D\bar{D}^*$: compatible with $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$.
 - The most probable production mechanism, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* \rightarrow J/\Psi X(3940)$, suggests C = +1 instead.

HQSS: Predicting Further States (II)

Then, we assume the X(3915) to be a $D^*\bar{D}^* 0^{++}$ molecule:

J^{PC}	ΗĒ	$E~(\Lambda=0.5~{ m GeV})$	$E (\Lambda = 1 \text{ GeV})$	Exp (PDG)
0++	$D\bar{D}$	3706 ± 10	3712^{+13}_{-17}	—
1++	$D^*\bar{D}$	Input	Input	3872
1+-	$D^*\bar{D}$	3814 ± 17	3819^{+24}_{-27}	—
0++	$D^*\bar{D}^*$	Input	Input	3917
1+-	$D^*\bar{D}^*$	3953 ± 17	3956^{+25}_{-28}	3942
2^{++}	$D^*\bar{D}^*_{_}$	4012 ± 3	4012^{+4}_{-9}	_

We predict a total of six states! (Curiously there is a state in the region of the X(3940), maybe resuggesting C = -1 after all)

But how Robust are the Predictions?

Well, there are three effects that can potentially change the spectrum:

- HQSS violations: up to $\pm 25 \text{ MeV}$ for $J^{PC} = 1^{+-}$.
- OPE exchange: up to $\pm 10 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ (a surprisingly mild effect).
- Coupled channel dynamics (only in the 0^{++} and 1^{+-} states):
 - The LO potential actually mixes particle channels with identical quantum numbers, but the effect is suppressed.
 - The 0^{++} (1^{+-}) states move by about 20 25 (35) MeV.
 - Consistent with a Q²-suppressed effect, but quite dependent on regularization conditions. More effort required.

Conclusion: the exact positions are subjected to uncertainties of up to $40 \,\mathrm{MeV}$ in the more bound cases, but the spectrum is robust.

Comparison with Other Works

With the exception of the X(4012), all the previous states have also been predicted in previous works:

- The 0^{++} $\overline{DD} X(3710)$ states is also to be found in Maiani et al. 04 (quark model, predicts also six states, but usually much more bound) and Gamermann et al. 07 (hidden gauge).
- The 1^{+-} $D\bar{D}^* X(3820)$ also predicted in Gamermann, Oset 07.
- The 1^{+-} $D\bar{D}^* X(3955)$ in Molina, Oset 09.

Finally, the molecular nature of the X(3915) we use as input have been proposed in many works, as already mentioned.
 (Liu, Zhu 09; Branz, Gutsche, Lyubovitskij 09; Ding 09; Molina, Oset 09)

Quite probably there are other works with similar predictions.

Conclusions

- EFT formalism of heavy meson molecules:
 - Contact interactions dominate the low energy description
 - The OPE potential has a much smaller effect than expected.
 - Coupled channel dynamics from HQSS is a subleading (but nonetheless important) contribution.
- HQSS allows the prediction of new molecular states from previously known ones:
 - The X(3872) implies the existence of a $2^{++} X(4012)$
 - If the X(3915) is molecular, then we have three new partners, the $0^{++} X(3710)$ and the $1^{+-} X(3820)$ and X(3955).
- We have estimated the size of subleading corrections: results are theoretically robust.